

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
FORT SMITH DIVISION**

In re:

Petition of Jonathan Daniel Clements

For Limited Procedural Correction and Mandamus Relief

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1361 and 28 U.S.C. § 1651

**(This Petition Does Not Waive or Affect the Jurisdiction or
Standing of ECC-TRUST-JDC-005)**

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

AND REQUEST FOR PROCEDURAL CORRECTION**

Petitioner **Jonathan Daniel Clements**, appearing **in his personal capacity solely for purposes of procedural access**, respectfully submits this Petition under **28 U.S.C. § 1361, 28 U.S.C. § 1651, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)** for **limited procedural correction only**.

This Petition:

does not seek adjudication of the ECC-TRUST-JDC-005,
does not submit the Trust to federal jurisdiction,
does not waive ecclesiastical or international standing,
does not request substantive review of trust matters,
and does not revisit the dismissal of Case No. 2:25-cv-02145.

Petitioner files this solely to correct a **clerical misclassification** that prevented the Court from reviewing filings that were **not part of the civil complaint**, including the Article III filings the Court never addressed.

I. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction under:

28 U.S.C. § 1361 (mandamus to compel performance of ministerial duties),

28 U.S.C. § 1651 (All Writs Act),

Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1) and (6) (correction of clerical mistakes and procedural irregularities).

Petitioner seeks only procedural relief necessary to ensure that documents previously lodged with this Court are correctly docketed and available for proper consideration in any future filing.

II. STATEMENT THAT THE TRUST IS NOT WAIVED

Petitioner affirms explicitly:

Filing this Petition **does not place ECC-TRUST-JDC-005 under this Court's jurisdiction.**

Filing this Petition **does not waive, alter, abandon, or invalidate** the ecclesiastical, international, or private trust standing of ECC-TRUST-JDC-005.

Petitioner makes no claim, argument, or submission regarding the Trust itself in this Petition.

This filing is **purely procedural**, submitted only because the Court requires a natural person for IFP status.

The Trust retains its full and separate standing, corpus, jurisdiction, and prior public notices.

This section ensures the federal record reflects that this filing does **not** touch the Trust.

III. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

In November 2025, Petitioner delivered multiple filings to the Clerk of Court, including:

A request for Article III de novo review,

An Article III writ,

Compulsory notices,

Jurisdictional filings,

Procedural declarations,

And documents unrelated to the civil complaint.

These documents were intended to be docketed as **jurisdictional notices** and **procedural filings**, not as components of the civil complaint.

The Clerk of Court **misclassified** these filings and linked them to the civil complaint docket, causing them to be included in the Magistrate Judge's **28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)** screening process.

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation **did not review** the Article III filings or other procedural documents. They were never referenced or addressed.

On December 1, 2025, the District Court adopted the R&R and dismissed the civil complaint **without prejudice**. However, the Order does **not** reference or rule on the Article III filings, compulsory notices, or procedural documents.

Based on the timeline and content of the Order, it is clear that the Article III filings were **never reviewed** and were unintentionally absorbed into the dismissed civil complaint docket.

These filings must be separated from the dismissed case to prevent prejudice and ensure that future filings are properly understood and routed.

IV. RELIEF REQUESTED

Petitioner respectfully requests the Court to:

1. Direct the Clerk to identify and separate all filings submitted in November 2025 that were *not* part of the civil complaint, including but not limited to:

The Article III de novo review request,

The Article III writ,

Compulsory notices,

Procedural declarations,

Jurisdictional filings.

2. Correct the docket so that these filings are categorized properly, under:

"Notice,"

“Jurisdictional Filing,”

“Administrative Submission,” or

“Miscellaneous Filing,”
as appropriate.

3. Confirm that these filings were NOT addressed or dismissed in the Court’s December 1, 2025 Order.

4. Clarify that Petitioner retains the right to file future actions—individually or on behalf of ECC-TRUST-JDC-005—without prejudice from the dismissal of the civil complaint.

5. Issue any additional corrective instruction necessary to ensure that the federal record accurately reflects the nature and intent of the filings delivered in November 2025.

V. BASIS FOR MANDAMUS AND CORRECTION

Mandamus is warranted when:

the duty is ministerial and nondiscretionary;

the petitioner lacks any alternative remedy;

correction is required to preserve the integrity of the record.

Because Petitioner is indigent, **IFP status is necessary** to submit this procedural petition.

This Petition seeks only to correct a **clerical and routing issue**, not to litigate substantive matters.

VI. CONCLUSION

Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court correct the misclassification of filings submitted in November 2025 and ensure the federal record accurately reflects which items were civil-complaint components and which were not.

Petitioner again affirms that this request:

does not waive the Trust,

**does not submit the Trust to federal jurisdiction,
and does not alter or diminish ecclesiastical standing.**

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Daniel Clements

Petitioner (procedural capacity only)

Date: _____